

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

a per to a most sec	THE BLOCK PARTY	THOUSE LAND BARRATION	ARTODATES DOCUMENO	GONTON LUTONINO	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/553,689	10/18/2005	Stephanie M. Whited	63126A	2018	
35503 Union Carbide	7590 07/16/200 Chemicals and	EXAMINER			
Plastics Technology Corporation			LU, C CAIXIA		
P.O. Box 1967 Midland, MI 4			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1796		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/16/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/553,689	WHITED ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Caixia Lu	1796	

	Caixia Lu	1796						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 09 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.13.; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filled within one of the following time							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to</li> </ul>	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
NOTICE OF APPEAL								
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause					
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying the	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•	_					
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the proposed amendment of the proposed amend</li></ol>		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
	/Caixia Lu/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1796						
	i illiary Examiner, Art O	1111 17 30						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance.

(i) Applicants indicate in the Remarks that the previous Acton states that Jorgenson-745 fails to disclose or suggest partial activation of the catalyst precursor, and that Jorgenson-745 is cited for its teaching of contacting the catalyst presursor using in-line static mixers. In view of the examiner's whole response of May 29, it is apprent that Jorgenson-405 should be cited instead and the examiner appologizes for such a typographic error. As shown in the previous Office Action, it is the examiner position that Jorgenson-745 expressly teaches the partial action of the catalyst precursor.

(ii) Applicants further argue that Example 5 of Jorgenson-866 fails to disclose or suggest Applicants' recited steps of partially pre-activating the catalyst presursor by contacting the slurry with one or more Lewis Acids employing one or more in-line mixers, and transferring the partially pre-activated catalyst precursor under plug-flow conditions into a gas phase, olefin polymerization reactor and adding an additional amount of the activator to the reactor to produce a homgeneous activated catalyst mixture. On the contrary, in lines 45-57 of col. 13, Jorgenson-866 expressly discloses that the catalyst precursor is partially activated in two sequential residence wessels (the in-line static mixers), the partially activated catalyst precursor from the second vessel then exits the second residence time vessels and goes directed in to the polymerization reactor (fluidaced bed, gas phase) where it is fully activated with the final amount of cocatalyst and ethelese copolymerization is carried thereupon. Therefire, the teaching of Jorgenson-866 meets the limitations of the instant claims. Applicants also represent the instant claims. However, applicants are reminded that the instant claims do not limit the partially activated catalyst precursor to be fully activated in the absence of the monomers. As a matter of fact, in industry, the partially activated catalyst precusor to be fully activated in the absence of the monomers. As a matter of fact, in industry, the partially activated catalyst precusor to be fully activated of enton continuous that experience of the monomer and continuous that continuous that the province of the monomer and continuous that the partially activated catalyst precusor to be fully activated or enton continuous that experience of the monomer and continuous that the partially activated catalyst precusor to be fully activated or enton continuous that the partially activated catalyst precusor to see fully activated catalyst precusor to be fully activated or the continuous that the partially acti

In view of foregoing, the rejections of the record are still deemed proper.